OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear Sacretary JECENED OCT 13 MM

re: Pocket NO 92.90. Act of 1991.

The soliting to make cold onlis to the general public is vital to my business, as well as serving my clients.

I have been selling MED/Estate for 10 years, and have mode 1000's of cold colls on the share. To promote a home I am selling, on trying to time A home for A buyer, or just looks to people

I have excentered mony delattel people over the years and built some long losting triend ship that started with a cold call.

I honestly feel, based off my organice less than 5% of the public truly get oftended

mainst miles in their life time. Removing By solity to cold soll will heart many people in the malleting of their bone and petecting

their investment

I can not unge in the strong enough terms, that we have the shirty to continue to cold

> Sincesty m/le Monrico C.DI Selbertand 4200 S. Cocpes State 101

> Anlington, TX 76015



8200 La Mesa Blvd. La Mesa, CA 91941 (619) 589-8600 92-90/

1

1667 '1 '4 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

OCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN.

THAVE BEEN IN THE REAL BOTATE IMPUSTRY FOR 4 YEARS NOW AND NEED YOU TO KNOW HOW IMPORTANT COLD CALLING IS TO MY BUSINESS.

IF YOU WERE TO BAN TELEPHONE SOLICITING FOR REALTORS, IT WOULD HAVE A VERY NEGITIVE EFFECT ON THE ECONOMY.

AS WITH ANY BUSINESS THE TELEPHONE IS A VITAL PART OF OUR BUSINESS AND WE NEED IT AS A TOOL TO FIND PEOPLE WHO WANT TO BUT OR SELL BEAL RETATE.

PLEASE LEAVE THINGS THE WAY THEY ARE.

SINCERELY,

JACK HOWARD

10/6/02

1992 1 1 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

ABIO & ADLETA, REALTORS • 5956 Sherry Lane • Suite 100 • Dallas, Texas 75225 • 214/696-0900

RECEIVED

OCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Attn: Docket No. 92-90
1919 M. Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

To Whom It May Concern:

October 6, 1992

This letter is to let you know that I as a residential real estate agent cannot continue to be successful in this business if I am restricted from making cold calls on the telephone. I am imploring you not to draft a regulation to restrict person-to-person solicitations to residential homes.

The residential real estate industry cannot continue to grow and attempt to bring this country out of its present economic condition if this restraint of trade should be imposed on our industry.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter and we implore you to reconsider this regulation.

Yours very truly,

Grace Winslow

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
FÉDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
ATTN: DOCICET # 92-90

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

OCT 1 3 1992

GOT 1 4 1992

MAIL BRANCH

FEDERAL COMMINISTRY

TO CALL CUSTOMERS + CLIENTS ON THE PAST 35 YEARS, IUR

THEY MON MR ON 16. TON THE PAST 35 YEARS, IUR

THAN R HELPEN, ILLUMNERS OR CLIENTS BUY AND SELL HOMES.

THIS WULL BELLE USE ON THE PROVINES TO MY CLIENTS

UIA THE USE OF THE TELEPHALE. PLEASE DON'T PASS

BILL & GJ-GO AND RESTRICT MY TELEPHALE USEAGE.

THANK YOU,

Sewed Lygins

Oct. 6, 1992

RECEIVED

OCT 1 4 1992

RECEIVED

OCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH

Frudentiel San Diejo Realty 8200 La Mesa Blod. La Mesa, Ca. 91941 Barbera Koreale

Edual Communications Communications Letter! Docket No. 92-98

1919 m Street, n.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

It has been brought to my attention that the Induction Communications Communication is dusting a regulation to restrict person to person solicitations to residential homes.

as an active real estate agent and being the sole support of myself & my son, I feel this would greatly restrict my ability to seen an income in this extremely difficult and adverse real estate market.

Sincerely, Farbera Kreski

Traci Bass

RECEIVED

Superstar Agent

OCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH



RECEIVED

Det '1 '4 1992

To Whom It May Concern: Re: Docket No. 92-90 Deleghone Consumer Protection act 9 193 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

It is imperative to my business and lively hord that this restriction not pass. Beside the Real Estate industry there are many people who larn there living for phone polication. Howome has a right to restrict the larns of themands of americans, because one Senator Call a 'call he didn't like. He callie always has the optim of hanging up. Please work hard to be sure this legislation is not passed. Regards Trace Bass

BERNET 1320 West Valley Parkway, Suite 309, Escondido, CA 92029 (619) 489-9400 Office, (619) 743-1935 Residence Each Office is Independently Owned and Operated



P. O. Box 8 Milton, Vermont 05468 (802) 893-2415

1161 Williston Road South Burlington, Vermont 05403 (802) 658-5555 RECEIVED

MAIL BRANCH

RECEIVED

GGT 17 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Ref:

Docket #92-90

Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

To Whom it May Concern;

I have recently been made aware that the FCC is in the process of drafting legislation to restrict telephone sales calls to residential homes.

I feel very strongly that this legislation is completely misdirected. As you know, the real estate industry is currently going through a difficult period. Drafting legislation to further hinder the industry's stability, let alone its growth, will adversely affect not only the hundreds of thousands of agents in the business nationwide, but it will also effect the economy of this country since the housing industry is inexorably linked to the nation's economic recovery.

Person to person solicitations are an intregal part of selling residential real estate and must and should remain an accepted method of reaching the people.

I am therefore voicing my_OPPOSITION to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.

Sincerely,

CENTURY 21 Jack Associates

867 1 7 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

בכעו ל 1 ל ספר

MAIL BRANCH

Century 21.

Jack Associates

P. O. Box 8
Milton, Vermont 05468
(802) 893-2415

1161 Williston Road South Burlington, Vermont 05403 (802) 658-5555

> Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Ref:

Docket #92-90

Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

To Whom it May Concern:

I have recently been made aware that the FCC is in the process of drafting legislation to restrict telephone sales calls to residential homes.

I feel very strongly that this legislation is completely misdirected. As you know, the real estate industry is currently going through a difficult period. Drafting legislation to further hinder the industry's stability, let alone its growth, will adversely affect not only the hundreds of thousands of agents in the business nationwide, but it will also effect the economy of this country since the housing industry is inexorably linked to the nation's economic recovery.

Person to person solicitations are an intregal part of selling residential real estate and must and should remain an accepted method of reaching the people.

I am therefore voicing my OPPOSITION to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.

Sincerely,

CENTURY 21 Jack Associates



P. O. Box 8 Milton, Vermont 05468 (802) 893-2415

1161 Williston Road South Burlington, Vermont 05403 (802) 658-5555 RECEIVED

17. T.

LOCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH
RECEIVED

OCT 1 4 1992

PEDENAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20554

Ref:

Docket #92-90

Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

To Whom it May Concern:

I have recently been made aware that the FCC is in the process of drafting legislation to restrict telephone sales calls to residential homes.

I feel very strongly that this legislation is completely misdirected. As you know, the real estate industry is currently going through a difficult period. Drafting legislation to further hinder the industry's stability, let alone its growth, will adversely affect not only the hundreds of thousands of agents in the business nationwide, but it will also effect the economy of this country since the housing industry is inexorably linked to the nation's economic recovery.

Person to person solicitations are an intregal part of selling residential real estate and must and should remain an accepted method of reaching the people.

I am therefore voicing my_OPPOSITION to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.

Sincerely,

CENTURY 21 Jack Associates

Mark Ledous

RECEIVED LOUMMON TO A TOTAL COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

OCT 1 5 1852

MAIL BRANCH

Jack Associates

P. O. Box 8 Milton, Vermont 05468 (802) 893-2415

1161 Williston Road South Burlington, Vermont 05403 (802) 658-5555

> Office of the Secretary **Federal Communications Commission** 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Ref:

Docket #92-90

Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

To Whom it May Concern:

I have recently been made aware that the FCC is in the process of drafting legislation to restrict telephone sales calls to residential homes.

I feel very strongly that this legislation is completely misdirected. As you know, the real estate industry is currently going through a difficult period. Drafting legislation to further hinder the industry's stability, let alone its growth, will adversely affect not only the hundreds of thousands of agents in the business nationwide, but it will also effect the economy of this country since the housing industry is inexorably linked to the nation's economic recovery.

Person to person solicitations are an intregal part of selling residential real estate and must and should remain an accepted method of reaching the people. I am therefore voicing my OPPOSITION to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.

Sincerely,

CENTURY 21 Jack Associates



P. O. Box 8 Milton, Vermont 05468 (802) 893-2415

1161 Williston Road South Burlington, Vermont 05403 (802) 658-5555

RECEIVED

OCT 1 3 1992

RECEIVED

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS SUMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

MAIL BRANCH

Office of the Secretary **Federal Communications Commission** 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Ref:

Docket #92-90

Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

To Whom it May Concern;

I have recently been made aware that the FCC is in the process of drafting legislation to restrict telephone sales calls to residential homes.

I feel very strongly that this legislation is completely misdirected. As you know, the real estate industry is currently going through a difficult period. Drafting legislation to further hinder the industry's stability, let alone its growth, will adversely affect not only the hundreds of thousands of agents in the business nationwide, but it will also effect the economy of this country since the housing industry is inexorably linked to the nation's economic recovery.

Person to person solicitations are an intregal part of selling residential real estate and must and should remain an accepted method of reaching the people. I am therefore voicing my <u>OPPOSITION</u> to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.

Sincerely,

CENTURY 21 Jack Associates



OCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH

RECEIVED

October 5, 1992

1007 1 74 1992

Office of the Secretary F.C.C. Attn: Docket No. <u>92-90</u> 1919 M. Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS OCIMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

As a professional residential Realtor I am expressing my opposition to your pending regulation which would restrict person to person solicitations to residential homes. Telephone "cold" calls are fundamental to my personal business success, and to the success of the real estate industry in general.

Any restrictions on phone usage for direct contact is a severe restriction to my personal business income - <u>and</u> a restriction of fair trade. Please stop this pending legislation re: Docket No. 92-90.

Sincerely,

Diane Kawell

Licensed Real Estate Broker Re/Max of Valencia, Inc.

Fred Sands

Fred Sands Realtors Woodland Hills Sales Office 20750 Ventura Boulevard Woodland Hills, California 91364 (818) 888-3603 Fax No. (818) 340-3824

OCT. 10, 1992

RECEIVED

Inct 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH

RECEIVED

100T 1 1 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20554

Subject: Docket No. 92-90

Dear Mr. Secretary,

As a professional rear estate agent I am very concerned about the drafting of a regulation to restrict my ability to solicit on a person to person basis by telephone to residential homes.

If this regulation where to be put into effect it would a major negative affect on my business. It will also directly affect the many clients that I represent to help them get their homes sold.

In present state of the economy, it takes every outlet in order to help sellers sell their homes.

Sincerely,

Neil & Ronnie Schwartz

Real Estate Agents

1887 1 7 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS JUMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

ABIO & ADLETA, REALTORS • 5956 Sherry Lane • Suite 100 • Dallas, Texas 75225 • 214/696-0900

RECEIVED

TOCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Attn: Docket No. 92-90
1919 M. Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

To Whom It May Concern:

October 6, 1992

This letter is to let you know that I as a residential real estate agent cannot continue to be successful in this business if I am restricted from making cold calls on the telephone. I am imploring you not to draft a regulation to restrict person-to-person solicitations to residential homes.

The residential real estate industry cannot continue to grow and attempt to bring this country out of its present economic condition if this restraint of trade should be imposed on our industry.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter and we implore you to reconsider this regulation.

Yours very truly,

The Jaylar Concate



John A. Shubin, GRI REALTOR-ASSOCIATE®

RECEIVED RECEIVED

OCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

October 6, 1992

Office Of The Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

RE: Docket No. 92-90/ Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

er fri tukki galaruk kibil

Dear Sir/Madame:

It is my opinion that the above-referenced regulation should not be passed. If passed, this regulation will dramatically affect my livelihood as well as the Sales Industry as a whole. We must "cold call" to find potential Buyers and Sellers of Real Estate. These Buyers and Sellers provide much needed activity in the Real Estate market, which as you know, helps to fuel the economy.

If consumers do not wish to receive sales calls at their homes, they must take matters into their own hands to alleviate the situation. It is not the role of government to screen the calls of the citizens of this country.

Sincerely,

John A. Shubin, GRI Realtor Associate



Performance, Inc. 300 Sunny Gien Ct., P.O. Box 5044 Woodland Park, Colorado 80866-5044 Bus: (719) 687-0800 Fax: (719) 687-0863

Message Center: (719) 661-1175 Toll Free: 1-800-748-3801

Each Office Independently Owned and Operated



Steve Schlueter

BBT '1 '2 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICĂTIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

OCT 1 3 15%

October 6, 1992

MAIL BRANCH

Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission Attn: Dockett No. 92-90 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Secretary,

The FCC is currently drafting legislation to restrict person-to-person solicitations to residential homes which will affect almost every real estate agent and broker in the United States (Dockett No. 92-90, Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991). Your legislation, if passed, will severely restrict my profession's ability to do business.

Over 60% of my business comes from clients whom I've contacted initially via telephone "cold calling." Another 25% of my business comes from the referrals those people send to me. Cold calling is the most effective and inexpensive way of reaching new clients. Without the ability to cold call, my business and my income will drop by at least half, if not more. I believe that I speak for thousands and thousands of real estate agents and brokers who feel the same way about restricting our ability to do business in our communities. We are professionals who use the phone in a professional manner to contact new clients.

Please do not let a handful of unscrupulous telemarketing companies take away the most valuable tool we realtors have in our business.

Respectfully yours,

Steve Schlueter

RE/MAX Heart of Texas Realtors, Austin, Texas.



Heart of Texas, REALTORS® 6836 Austin Center Blvd., Suite 100

Austin, Texas 78731 Phone: (512) 794-9292

Each Office Independently Owned and Operated

SOT 1 4 1992

PEDEHAL COMMUNICATIONS JOHNISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

ABIO & ADLETA, REALTORS • 5956 Sherry Lane • Suite 100 • Dallas, Texas 75225 • 214/696-0900

RECEIVED

TOCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Communication
Attn: Docket No. 92-90
1919 M. Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

To Whom It May Concern:

October 6, 1992

This letter is to let you know that I as a residential real estate agent cannot continue to be successful in this business if I am restricted from making cold calls on the telephone. I am imploring you not to draft a regulation to restrict person-to-person solicitations to residential homes.

The residential real estate industry cannot continue to grow and attempt to bring this country out of its present economic condition if this restraint of trade should be imposed on our industry.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter and we implore you to reconsider this regulation.

Yours very truly,



P. O. Box 8 Milton, Vermont 05468 (802) 893-2415

1161 Williston Road South Burlington, Vermont 05403 (802) 658-5555 RECEIVED

OCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH

RECEIVED

OCT 1 4 1992

Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Ref:

Docket #92-90

Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

FEDERAL GUARAGANICA I DE DUIAMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

To Whom it May Concern;

I have recently been made aware that the FCC is in the process of drafting legislation to restrict telephone sales calls to residential homes.

I feel very strongly that this legislation is completely misdirected. As you know, the real estate industry is currently going through a difficult period. Drafting legislation to further hinder the industry's stability, let alone its growth, will adversely affect not only the hundreds of thousands of agents in the business nationwide, but it will also effect the economy of this country since the housing industry is inexorably linked to the nation's economic recovery.

Person to person solicitations are an intregal part of selling residential real estate and must and should remain an accepted method of reaching the people.

I am therefore voicing my OPPOSITION to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.

Sincerely,

CENTURY 21 Jack Associates





RECEIVED OCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH



October 5, 1992

Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Attn: Docket No. 92-90

To Whom It May Concern:

RECEIVED

OCT 17 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS SAMUSSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Of 25 people I cold call in my real estate business, four people on average appreciate the information I give them, only one, an average, is upset by my call. The balance simply indicate they are not interested and we hang up. realize that the people that are upset at my call, are not upset by the phone or me, but because they are upset at something else or everything else.

Cold calling is a simple, cheap way for me to conduct my business. It supplies me with 75% of my business, business on which I pay taxes. To restrict my cold calling would significantly reduce my ability to produce a living.

I receive solicitation calls at my home. The majority are from non-profit organizations looking for donations. Do you really want to restrict these "points of lights" good deeds?

Docket No. 92-90, Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1992 certainly seems misguided.

I would urge defeat of this Act.

Sincerely,

Jan Manis

Broker Associate

JM:jb

Jan Manis **REMIX** northwest, inc.

12000 pecos street denver, colorado 80234 office phone: (303) 457-4800



P. O. Box 8 Milton, Vermont 05468 (802) 893-2415

1161 Williston Road South Burlington, Vermont 05403 (802) 658-5555 RECEIVED

DCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH

RECEIVED

GBT 1 4 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATION CONTRACTOR

OFFICE OF THE SECHETARY

Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Ref:

Docket #92-90

Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

To Whom it May Concern;

I have recently been made aware that the FCC is in the process of drafting legislation to restrict telephone sales calls to residential homes.

I feel very strongly that this legislation is completely misdirected. As you know, the real estate industry is currently going through a difficult period. Drafting legislation to further hinder the industry's stability, let alone its growth, will adversely affect not only the hundreds of thousands of agents in the business nationwide, but it will also effect the economy of this country since the housing industry is inexorably linked to the nation's economic recovery.

Person to person solicitations are an intregal part of selling residential real estate and must and should remain an accepted method of reaching the people.

I am therefore voicing my OPPOSITION to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.

Sincerely,

CENTURY 21 Jack Associates



P. O. Box 8 Milton, Vermont 05468 (802) 893-2415

1161 Williston Road South Burlington, Vermont 05403 (802) 658-5555 RECEIVED

LOCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH RECEIVED

100T 1 7 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20554

Ref:

Docket #92-90

Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

To Whom it May Concern;

I have recently been made aware that the FCC is in the process of drafting legislation to restrict telephone sales calls to residential homes.

I feel very strongly that this legislation is completely misdirected. As you know, the real estate industry is currently going through a difficult period. Drafting legislation to further hinder the industry's stability, let alone its growth, will adversely affect not only the hundreds of thousands of agents in the business nationwide, but it will also effect the economy of this country since the housing industry is inexorably linked to the nation's economic recovery.

Person to person solicitations are an intregal part of selling residential real estate and must and should remain an accepted method of reaching the people.

I am therefore voicing my OPPOSITION to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.

Sincerely,

CENTURY 21 Jack Associates

hayHoule



P. O. Box 8 Milton, Vermont 05468 (802) 893-2415

1161 Williston Road South Burlington, Vermont 05403 (802) 658-5555 RECEIVED

OCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH

RECEIVED

OCT 1 4 1992

PEDERAL COMMUNICATION SOUNDISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Ref:

Docket #92-90

Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

To Whom it May Concern;

I have recently been made aware that the FCC is in the process of drafting legislation to restrict telephone sales calls to residential homes.

I feel very strongly that this legislation is completely misdirected. As you know, the real estate industry is currently going through a difficult period. Drafting legislation to further hinder the industry's stability, let alone its growth, will adversely affect not only the hundreds of thousands of agents in the business nationwide, but it will also effect the economy of this country since the housing industry is inexorably linked to the nation's economic recovery.

Person to person solicitations are an intregal part of selling residential real estate and must and should remain an accepted method of reaching the people.

I am therefore voicing my OPPOSITION to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.

Sincerely,

CENTURY 21 Jack Associates



P. O. Box 8 Milton, Vermont 05468 (802) 893-2415

1161 Williston Road South Burlington, Vermont 05403 (802) 658-5555 RECEIVED

TOCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH

RECEIVED

OCT 17 1992

FEGERAL GOMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OF THE SEGRETARY

Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Ref:

Docket #92-90

Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

To Whom it May Concern;

I have recently been made aware that the FCC is in the process of drafting legislation to restrict telephone sales calls to residential homes.

I feel very strongly that this legislation is completely misdirected. As you know, the real estate industry is currently going through a difficult period. Drafting legislation to further hinder the industry's stability, let alone its growth, will adversely affect not only the hundreds of thousands of agents in the business nationwide, but it will also effect the economy of this country since the housing industry is inexorably linked to the nation's economic recovery.

Person to person solicitations are an intregal part of selling residential real estate and must and should remain an accepted method of reaching the people.

I am therefore voicing my OPPOSITION to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.

Sincerely,

CENTURY 21 Jack Associates

auline Chica



P. O. Box 8 Milton, Vermont 05468 (802) 893-2415

1161 Williston Road South Burlington, Vermont 05403 (802) 658-5555 RECEIVED

DCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH

RECEIVED

108T 1 1 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Ref:

Docket #92-90

Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

To Whom it May Concern;

I have recently been made aware that the FCC is in the process of drafting legislation to restrict telephone sales calls to residential homes.

I feel very strongly that this legislation is completely misdirected. As you know, the real estate industry is currently going through a difficult period. Drafting legislation to further hinder the industry's stability, let alone its growth, will adversely affect not only the hundreds of thousands of agents in the business nationwide, but it will also effect the economy of this country since the housing industry is inexorably linked to the nation's economic recovery.

Person to person solicitations are an intregal part of selling residential real estate and must and should remain an accepted method of reaching the people.

I am therefore voicing my OPPOSITION to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.

Sincerely,

CENTURY 21 Jack Associates



P. O. Box 8 Milton, Vermont 05468 (802) 893-2415

1161 Williston Road South Burlington, Vermont 05403 (802) 658-5555

RECEIVED

IDCT 1 3 1992

MAIL BRANCH

RECEIVED

FEDERAL OCEMEUNICA (JURIS DURIMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Office of the Secretary **Federal Communications Commission** 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Ref:

Docket #92-90

Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

To Whom it May Concern:

I have recently been made aware that the FCC is in the process of drafting legislation to restrict telephone sales calls to residential homes.

I feel very strongly that this legislation is completely misdirected. As you know, the real estate industry is currently going through a difficult period. Drafting legislation to further hinder the industry's stability, let alone its growth, will adversely affect not only the hundreds of thousands of agents in the business nationwide, but it will also effect the economy of this country since the housing industry is inexorably linked to the nation's economic recovery.

Person to person solicitations are an intregal part of selling residential real estate and must and should remain an accepted method of reaching the people. I am therefore voicing my OPPOSITION to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991.

Sincerely,

CENTURY 21 Jack Associates